REMARKS

By the present amendment, independent claim 1 has been amended to further

clarify the concepts of the present invention. It is submitted that these amendments to

claim 1 are helpful in distinguishing the subject claims over the cited art and do not raise

new issues which would require further consideration and/or search. In addition, it is

submitted that such amendments place the application in better form for appeal by

materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Furthermore, no additional claims

are presented without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. In view

of the above, it is submitted that entry of the above amendments is in order and such is

respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-4 again were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Japanese patent publication 08-216278 in view of Japanese patent

publication 9-239807. In making this rejection, it was asserted that the former publication

(the '278 publication) teaches the entire method for manufacturing a hose as claimed with

the exception of extrusion molding the inner layer without a mandrel. The latter publication

(the '807 publication) was then asserted to supply this deficiency. Reconsideration of this

rejection in view of the above claim amendments and the following comments is

respectfully requested.

Before discussing the rejection in detail, a brief review of the presently claimed

invention may be quite instructive. The subject invention as defined by amended claim 1

herein relates to a method for manufacturing a fuel transporting hose having an

intermediate rubber layer and a rubber outer layer sequentially laminated on an outer

peripheral surface of a fluoro rubber inner layer. This method comprises the steps of: only

co-extruding fluoro rubber and an intermediate layer rubber material without using a

mandrel to form the intermediate rubber layer on an outer peripheral surface of the fluoro

rubber inner layer; extruding an outer layer rubber material on an outer peripheral surface

of the intermediate rubber layer to form the rubber outer layer and thereby form an

unvulcanized hose having the fluoro rubber inner layer, the intermediate rubber layer and

the rubber outer layer; after the above steps, vulcanizing the unvulcanized hose to form a

fuel transporting hose; and forming a fluorine-modified silicone lubricating layer on an inner

peripheral surface of the fluoro rubber inner layer.

An important feature of the presently claimed invention is that the fluoro rubber and

an intermediate layer rubber material are only co-extruded without using a mandrel to form

the intermediate rubber layer on an outer peripheral surface of the fluoro rubber inner

layer. More specifically, the present invention manufactures a fuel transporting hose

without the use of a mandrel so that it becomes unnecessary to pull out the mandrel by

water pressure. It also becomes unnecessary to consider pressure resistance in pulling

out the mandrel by water pressure. Therefore, the method of the present invention can

significantly reduce manufacturing costs, equipment costs and material costs, in

comparison with conventional methods which do use a mandrel. It is submitted that the

subject method, and the specific features and advantages thereof, are not taught or

suggested by the cited Japanese patent publications, whether taken singly or in

combination.

More particularly, the cited '278 Japanese publication discloses "a method of

manufacturing a hose comprising the steps of: extrusion molding an unvulcanized fluoro

rubber on the surface of a mandrel; vulcanizing the unvulcanized fluoro rubber to form a

hose having a fluoro rubber inner layer; and coating a fluoro-modified silicone lubricant

solution on an inner peripheral surface of the fluoro rubber inner layer to form a lubricating

layer." However, the method of the present invention include the important feature that

fluoro rubber and an intermediate layer rubber material are co-extruded without using a

mandrel. As mentioned above, the subject method which does not use a mandrel has the

important effects in that manufacturing costs, equipment costs and material costs can be

significantly reduced, in comparison with conventional methods that use a mandrel. There

is no teaching or suggestion in the '278 Japanese publication regarding such characteristic

features of the subject invention and resultant important effects. It is submitted that these

teaching deficiencies are not supplied by the '807 Japanese patent publication.

More particularly, the cited '807 Japanese publication discloses a method for

producing a multilayered hose including a resin layer and a rubber layer being in a mutually

close contact state by using an extrusion molding machine. According to the publication,

the method comprises extrusion-molding the multilayered hose by degassing the gap

between the resin layer and the rubber layer to extrude both layers from the die head of

the extrusion molding machine in a mutually close contact state.

Thus, in the method disclosed in the '807 publication, it is intended to adhere

dissimilar materials such as resin and rubber. Since resin is hard and rubber is soft, an air

layer is present between the resin layer and the rubber layer when extruding both layers

from the die head of the extrusion molding machine. Therefore, it is necessary to degas

the gap between the resin layer and the rubber layer.

While, the '807 Japanese publication does describe a method for adhering the resin

layer to the rubber layer without using a mandrel, it is necessary according to the disclosed

method, to degas the gap between the resin layer and the rubber layer. Such a degassing

process requires special equipment, for example, a vacuum device 10 and the like as

shown in Fig. 1 of the publication, as well as an additional and special step of adjusting the

degree of vacuum by degassing and the like. In addition, in the method disclosed in the

'807 publication, it is necessary to blow compressed air onto an inner surface of the resin

layer to press the inner surface of the resin layer against the rubber layer.

In distinct contrast, the method of manufacturing a fuel transporting hose according

to the presently claimed invention has both an inner layer and an outer layer formed from

rubber materials which are similar materials. Thus, by only co-extruding a fluoro rubber

and an intermediate layer rubber material without using a mandrel an unvulcanized

intermediate rubber layer can be formed on an outer peripheral surface of an unvulcanized

fluoro rubber inner layer. Then, by vulcanizing the unvulcanized rubber, the fluoro rubber

inner layer can be more firmly attached to the intermediate rubber layer. Specifically, in

the method according to the present invention, since no air layer is present between the

fluoro rubber inner layer and the intermediate rubber layer, a degassing process is not

necessary. Further, it is not necessary to blow compressed air onto an inner surface of the

fluoro rubber inner layer to press the inner surface of the resin layer against the

intermediate rubber layer.

As described above, a method for manufacturing a fuel transporting hose according

to the present invention differs from a method for adhering a resin layer to a rubber layer

according to the '807 Japanese publication in that, among other things, similar rubber

materials are co-extruded without using a mandrel. There is no teaching or suggestion in

the cited publication regarding adhesion between similar rubber layers.

In this regard, it is to be noted that, when adhering one rubber layer to another

rubber layer, according to the method of the '807 publication, the following problems may

occur. Namely, since the co-extruded rubber inner layer and rubber outer layer are soft

in an unvulcanized state, the rubber inner layer and the rubber outer layer may be

deformed by degassing the gap between both layers by a vacuum device and the like, or

blowing compressed air onto an inner surface of the rubber inner layer. Thus, the resultant

hose according to the cited publication would have remarkably inferior dimensional

accuracy, and thus has inferior sealability and pull-out resistance. Therefore, the hose

according to the cited publication cannot be used as a fuel transporting hose as a hose

according to the present invention.

In addition, it may be that since the resin layer used as an inner layer according to

the '807 publication is relatively hard, the resin layer functions as a mandrel, thus helping

to prevent deformation of the resin layer. Therefore, there is no teaching or suggestion in

the cited publication concerning co-extrusion molding of rubber layers.

It is further submitted in support of the patentability of the subject invention over the

teachings of the cited publications is that these publications provide no suggestion to

motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to combine their teachings in the manner proposed

in the Action. It is well established principle of U.S. patent practice that the prior art must

contain some suggestion for combination since, without such, any combination is pure

speculation on the part of the examiner and is based on a prohibited hindsight

reconstruction from applicants' own disclosure.

Serial Number: 09/901,597 OA dated 1/14/04

Amdt. Dated 4/14/04

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

and allowance of claims 1 through 4 as amended over the cited publications are

respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the subject application is now in

condition for allowance and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an

appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit

Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with

respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Donald W. Hanson' Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Docket No. 010760 Suite 1000,1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-2930

DWH/rab

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE